What's Missing in Your Leader or Self-Development Program? The Answer is Probably Military History!

by MAJ Shameek De Lancey

"Let him read and meditate upon the wars of the great captains: It is the only way to learn the art of war," said Napoleon.¹

Military professionals have debated the usefulness and value of studying military history for centuries. The debate within the U.S. Army has ebbed and flowed depending on the Army's operating tempo (OPTEMPO) or on senior leader emphasis and beliefs about the "practicality" of studying military history for the average military professional. I believe the study of military history is critical and mandatory for the development of well-rounded and effective Army professionals.

The study of military history informs the long-term development of military professionals in three ways. First, studying history nurtures and cultivates critical- and creative-thinking skills. It is imperative that military professionals possess these skills, especially as military operations become more complex, and the time available for leaders to make decisions decreases. Second, the study of military history allows military professionals to develop, adapt, and evaluate current doctrine. Lastly, military history develops military professionals by exposing them to prior examples and experiences before they are personally tested in combat.

The positive benefits of exploring military history allow leaders to learn the art of war and learn from others' experience, which is critical for the military profession and the success of our force in future conflicts. Michael Howard described the nature of the military profession and the frequency with which a military professional might exercise his or her duty in war as it "is almost unique that he may have to exercise it only once in a lifetime, if indeed that often. It is as if a surgeon had to practice throughout his life on dummies for one real operation; or a barrister appeared only once or twice in court towards the close of his career; or a professional swimmer had to spend his life practicing on dry land for an Olympic championship on which the fortunes of his entire nation depended."²

Future wars rarely go as predicted, but as military professionals we owe it to our organizations and the nation to be ready when called upon. No other army trains as often, as realistically, or as demanding as our Army or even the joint force. In addition to training, one missing or often neglected element of our preparation for future war is deeper and richer understanding of military history in our Army professionals and within our Army organizations. What follows is an argument for including the study of military history in the operational force to build leaders' mental preparation to execute future military operations.

Critical, creative thinking skills

Our Army should use the study of military history to challenge and develop officers, over the length of their careers, in these three areas. Without a doubt this initiative should be driven by the institutional Army. However, individual military professionals should strive to improve in these areas through their own self-development plans as well. An easy way to begin this journey is to start with your current unit's organizational history. We owe it to our Soldiers to tie their current service to that of those who came before us, and knowing, teaching, and exploring our unit history is a way to make those connections. This builds pride in the force and inspires Soldiers to live and work to the high standard of those who served in their unit before them.

Knowing and talking about unit lineage is an excellent way to discuss military history in the operational force. History in the institutional Army is also a difficult subject to teach and study. Many professional military educational (PME) programs superficially cover military history and miss the mark on truly gaining the benefits of deep military history study. Military history in PME usually consists of disjointed and brief wave-top discussions of battles, campaigns, and military leaders focused on data and information. This approach that covers decades and centuries in minimum classroom blocks of instruction does not allow students to truly understand historical events or the full context in which the events take place. Additionally, students do not have the time or opportunity to make meaning of what they are learning.

In "Military History, Is It Still Practicable," Jay Luvaas lists several prominent military leaders who believe that military history needs to be studied deeply. Luvaas cites Field Marshal Earl Wavell as saying, "the real way to get value out of the study of military history is to take particular situations, and as far as possible get inside the skin of the man who made a decision and then see in what way you could have improved upon it." Wavell's approach takes time and a deliberate effort, but it allows students to truly exercise their critical- and creative-thinking skills. These skills are required to develop successful commanders and staff officers capable of winning on the modern battlefield.

Wavell's approach should be implemented at every PME by every student attending the course. Techniques such as requiring students to conduct a thorough battle analysis like the requirement at the Maneuver Captain's Career Course (MCCC) are excellent opportunities that require students to study the decisions and actions made by prior commanders to learn from those experiences. Additionally, conducting staff rides is another opportunity to learn from military history and get firsthand context to the conditions previous commanders experienced as they participated in a military operation. These techniques exist in our modern PME system but should increase to allow students more opportunities to participate in these educational events. Additionally, focus on the self-development domain regarding military history should be a requirement for leaders in the operational force. This requirement would ensure these skills are continuously developed throughout the length of an officer's career and not just occur while the Soldier is a student enrolled in PME.

Develop, evaluate doctrine

The consistent and deep study of military history equips military professionals to better understand, implement, evaluate, and develop U.S. Army doctrine. In the shadow of our wars in Iraq and Afghanistan and as we shift to large-scale combat operations (LSCO), it is the perfect time for the Army to reflect, learn, and review our current doctrine. In 2010 Robert Scales warned against the failure to maintain a learning organization by stating, "my sense is that the military has begun to circle X its officer seed corn. A bias toward active service in our protracted small wars is making our military an institution too busy to learn." Scales warned against an emphasis on action over education and offered ways the Army could promote and reward scholarship for military professionals. The continuous exploration of military history will equip military professionals to develop more effective doctrine and provide leaders with additional lenses to view the effectiveness of our current doctrine.

Studying the evolution of our military doctrine will provide context for military leaders currently trying to understand the Army's new operating concept – multidomain operations (MDO).⁵ Military history will equip leaders with an appreciation of the historical consistencies within MDO and better illuminate what is new and different in the doctrine. This understanding will allow leaders to better analyze if and how our MDO concept addresses the current operational environment or the challenges our pacing threats pose to our ability to conduct successful military operations.

This could require military professionals to progressively work on a thesis project throughout their career, periodically publish in professional journals, or require top performers to teach, observe-coach, or develop doctrine periodically throughout their career.

The need to evaluate and develop better military doctrine is not the sole responsibility of Combined Arms Doctrine Directorate doctrine writers and developers. All Army professionals owe it to their units and the force to evaluate doctrine's effectiveness when conducting home station collective-level training or a combat training center (CTC) rotation. Feedback from the force of doctrine applied to training or while operating allows leaders to strengthen our doctrine by understanding what does and doesn't work. Additionally, CTC observer/coach/trainers (O/C/T) and PME instructors should be heavily grounded in military history to better assist them in their official duties and should actively promote historical examples as a way of relating and connecting experiences of their training audience to the greater historical legacy their operations originated from.

Learn from others

Lastly, and more commonly, military history is a great tool to train military professionals without having to conduct military operations. This benefit can be implemented as an annual training type requirement or like the mechanisms discussed in previous paragraphs. Military professionals should have an area of expertise that assists

them in better understanding the complex character of warfare and exercises their judgement by replicating future situations they may find themselves in.

Clausewitz's concept of coup d'oeil, or inward eye, refers to the "quick recognition of a truth that the mind would ordinarily miss or would perceive only after long study and reflection." All Army professionals should develop and cultivate their individual coup d'oeil regardless of their duty position as a commander, staff officer, or functional area officer. Deep and deliberate study of military history is one of the best ways to develop your individual coup d'oeil. Studying military history and exploring what others have done in similar situations builds your ability to recognize "the truth" in any military context. It is important that Clausewitz highlighted "long study and reflection" as the means to develop coup d'oeil and not training or practical experience. Long study and reflection can come after training and personal experience, but the unlimited opportunity to learn from others through the study of military history is what the great theorist was referring to.

Conclusion

The study of military history to empower the current Army professional is an underappreciated tool that should be emphasized and leveraged in every unit's leader development program and in individual self-development programs. Studying history can be intimidating for some who may not know how to begin their journey or may be hesitant in not wanting to draw the wrong lessons or insights from historical experience. Antulio Echevarria II expertly cautioned against some of the troubles and pitfalls of studying military history in his article titled "The Trouble with History." However, his warning is no excuse not to incorporate deep and meaning study of military history in PME. Nor does Echevarria's warning abdicate our leaders' responsibility to leverage the benefits of studying military history throughout their careers.

The long-term study of military history will benefit military professionals by improving their critical- and creative-thinking skills, improving their ability to evaluate, implement, and develop doctrine and act as a training and education tool during periods of low OPTEMPO. The study of military history is greater than the ability to recall historical facts or extrapolate solutions from previous historical examples to solve current military problems. The prominent professor Michael Howard said it the best: "It must never be forgotten that the true use of history, military or civil, is, as Jacob Burckhardt once said, 'not to make men clever for the next time: it is to make them wise forever."

MAJ Shameek De Lancey is an Infantry officer who is currently a student at the Command and General Staff College. His previous assignments include serving as a rifle platoon leader and company executive officer in the 1st Battalion, 21st Infantry Regiment, 25th Infantry Division at Schofield Barracks, HI; battalion assistant operations officer and company commander in 4th Battalion, 31st Infantry Regiment, 2nd Brigade Combat Team, 10th Mountain Division (Light Infantry), Fort Drum, NY; aide-de-camp for the 10th Mountain Division commanding general; small group leader at the Maneuver Captain's Career Course at Fort Moore, GA, where he instructed two seminars of future company commanders. He earned instructor of the cycle honors, and his passion for teaching inspired him to start Kansas State University's certificate for Adult Learning and Education. Advanced Military Science Program student at the School of Advanced Military Studies at Fort Leavenworth, KS. MAJ De Lancey's military schools also include U.S. Army Ranger School, Cavalry Leader Course, Stryker Leader Course, Pathfinder School, Air Assault School, and Airborne School. He earned a bachelor's of arts degree in history from Old Dominion University and a master's of arts degree in international relations from the University of Oklahoma. MAJ De Lancey's awards include the Bronze Star Medal, Defense Meritorious Service Medal, and the Meritorious Service Medal with one Oak Leaf Cluster. MAJ De Lancey completed two deployments to Afghanistan in support of Operation Resolute Support.

Notes

- ¹ Jay Luvaas, "Military History: Is It Still Practicable?" *Parameters* 12 (March 1982).
- ² Michael Howard, "The Use and Abuse of Military History," *Parameters* 11/1 (1981).
- ³ Luvaas, "Military History."
- ⁴ Robert Scales, "Too Busy to Learn," *Army History*, No. 76 (Summer 2010).
- ⁵ Field Manual 3-0, *Multidomain Operations*; October 2022.
- ⁶ Carl von Clausewitz, *On War*, translated and edited by Michael Howard and Peter Paret (Princeton, NJ, 1976).
- ⁷ Antulio Echevarria, "The Trouble with History," *Parameters 35* (Summer 2005): 78-90.
- ⁸ Howard, "The Use and Abuse of Military History."

Acronym Quick-Scan

CTC – combat training center

MCCC – Maneuver Captain's Career Course

MDO – multidomain operations

O/C/T – observer/coach/trainers

OPTEMPO – operations tempo

PME – professional military educational